arXiv:1512.07906v5 [math.QA] 27 Jan 2016

CYCLOTOMIC EXPANSION AND VOLUME CONJECTURE FOR SUPERPOLYNOMIALS OF COLORED HOMFLY-PT HOMOLOGY AND COLORED KAUFFMAN HOMOLOGY

QINGTAO CHEN

Abstract. We first study superpolynomial associated to triply-graded reduced colored HOMFLY-PT homology. We propose conjectures of congruent relations and cyclotomic expansion for it. We prove conjecture of $N = 1$ for torus knot case, through which we obtain the corresponding invariant $\alpha(T(m,n)) = -(m-1)(n-1)/2$. This is closely related to the Milnor conjecture. Many examples including homologically thick knots and higher representations are also tested. Based on these examples, we further propose a conjecture that invariant α determined in cyclotomic expansion at $N = 1$ is a lower bound for smooth 4-ball genus. According to the structure of cyclotomic expansion, we propose a volume conjecture for $SU(n)$ specialized superpolynomial associated to reduced colored HOMFLY homology. We also prove the figure eight case for this new volume conjecture.

Then we study superpolynomial associated to triply-graded reduced colored Kauffman homology. We propose a conjecture of cyclotomic expansion for it. Homologically thick examples and higher representations are tested. Finally we apply the same idea to the Heegaard-Floer knot homology and also obtain an expansion formula for all the examples we tested.

1. Introduction

For the past 30 years, we witnessed many exciting developments in the area of knot theory which has also been connected to many active areas in mathematics and physics. Quantum invariants of knots and 3-manifolds was pioneered by E. Witten's seminal paper [36] and was rigorously defined by Reshetikhin-Turaev in [34]. About 15 years ago, M. Khovanov [18] introduce the idea of categorification by illustrating an example of categorification of the classical Jones polynomial. The reduced Poincare polynomial of Khovanov's homology $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K}; q, t)$ recovers the classical Jones polynomial $J(\mathcal{K}; q)$ in the following meaning

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K}; q, -1) = J(\mathcal{K}; q). \tag{1.1}$$

He also showed that $\mathcal{P}(5_1; q, -1) \neq \mathcal{P}(10_{132}; q, -1)$ for knots 5_1 and 10_{132}, while they share the same Jones polynomial, i.e. $J(5_1; q) = J(10_{132}; q)$. Then Khovanov-Rozansky [20] generalize the categorification of Jones polynomial to the categorification of the $sl(n)$ invariants, whose corresponding Poincare polynomial $\mathcal{P}^{sl(n)}(\mathcal{K}; q, t)$ recovers classical HOMFLY-PT polynomial $P(\mathcal{K}; a, q)$ with specialization $a = q^n$, i.e. $\mathcal{P}^{sl(n)}(\mathcal{K}; q, -1) = P(\mathcal{K}; q^n, q)$.

The idea of superpolynomial $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t)$ was introduced in [6] by Dunfield, Gukov and Rasmussen, which is a kind of categorification and could recover both the classical HOMFLY-PT polynomial and Alexander polynomial respectively, i.e. $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K}; q^n, q, -1) = P(\mathcal{K}; q^n, q)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K}; -1, q, -1) = \Delta_{\mathcal{K}}(q^2)$, where $\Delta_{\mathcal{K}}(q)$ is the Alexander polynomial in

the normal sense. This was further studied by Khovanov-Rozansky in [21]. It is a bit tricky that two theories doesn't match directly.

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K}; q^n, q, t) \neq \mathcal{P}^{sl(n)}(\mathcal{K}; q, t), \tag{1.2}$$

However, Dunfield, Gukov and Rasmussen argued [6] superpolynomial $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t)$ could recover $\mathcal{P}^{sl(n)}(\mathcal{K}; q, t)$ after certain differential d_n involved. They further argued [6] that the specialized superpolynomial $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K}; t^{-1}, q, t)$ could also recover the Poincare polynomial $HFK(\mathcal{K}; q^2, t)$ of Heegaard-Floer knot homology $\widehat{HFK}_i(\mathcal{K}; s)$ under certain differential d_0. The Poincare polynomial $HFK(\mathcal{K}; q, t)$ was given by

$$HFK(\mathcal{K}; q, t) \triangleq \sum_{s,i\in\mathbb{Z}} t^i q^s \widehat{HFK}_i(\mathcal{K}; s), \tag{1.3}$$

with condition

$$HFK(\mathcal{K}; q, -1) = \Delta_{\mathcal{K}}(q). \tag{1.4}$$

The Heegaard-Floer theory was independently constructed by Ozsváth-Szabó[30] and Rasmussen[32], which is another very active and profound area.

Many people are interested in categorification of various invariants ranging from classical invariants such HOMFLY-PT and Kauffman polynomials to their colored version (with representation involved). Of course the theory of superpolynomial become a very active area which attracts many mathematician and physicists. More mathematical rigorous formulation of categorification can be found in [35, 37]

Congruent relations and cyclotomic expansion for colored $SU(n)$ invariants was studied in papers [4, 5] by joint works of the author with K. Liu, P. Peng and S. Zhu. We get to know that congruent relations for quantum invariants could imply certain cyclotomic expansion for these quantum invariants

Our motivation of this paper is to have a correct point of view to study congruent relations among these superpolynomials first.

There is a well-known result that Heegaard-Floer homology of an alternative knot can be determined by a very simple method with only Alexander polynomials and signature involved This result was proved by Ozsváth-Szabó [29].

Theorem 1.1 (Ozsváth-Szabó)**.** *Let $\mathcal{K} \subset S^3$ be an alternating knot with Alexander-Conway polynomial $\Delta_{\mathcal{K}}(q) = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} a_s q^s$ and signature $\sigma = \sigma(\mathcal{K})$. Then we have*

$$\widehat{HFK}_i(\mathcal{K}, s) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{|a_s|} & \text{if } i = s + \frac{\sigma}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

It was shown by C. Manolescu and P.S. Ozsváth [25] that quasi-alternating knots hold the same results.

Because one side of the triply-graded superpolynomials is also connected to Heeggard-Floer knot homology under certain differential d_0. Thus it is natural to propose a conjecture that under some t-grading shifting, we could also obtain nice congruent relation properties just like the non-categorified colored $SU(n)$ invariants[4]. We first studied the

congruent relation properties for torus knots $T(2,2p+1)$, whose closed formulas was obtained by H. Fuji, S. Gukov and P. Sulkowski in [8]. After we did an intensive computation, we propose the following conjecture

Conjecture 1.2. *The superpolynomial of triply-graded reduced colored HOMFLY-PT homology has the following congruent relations*

$$\begin{aligned}(-t)^{-Np}\mathcal{P}_N(T(2,2p+1);a,q,t) &\equiv (-t)^{-kp}\mathcal{P}_k(T(2,2p+1);a,q,t)\\ &\quad mod(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q)(t^2aq^{N+k}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-N-k}),\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ denote the superpolynomial of triply-graded reduced colored HOMFLY-PT homology of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ with N-th symmetric power of the fundamental representation.

As [4, 5] suggest that there is always a cyclotomic expansion behind such congruent relations. The reduced colored HOMFLY-PT superpolynomial of the figure knot 4_1 was obtained in (2.12) of [9] (original in [15]). We rearrange the expression of it in the following way

$$\mathcal{P}_N(4_1;a,q,t)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\{N+1-i\}}{\{i\}}A_{i-2}(a,q,t)B_{N+i-1}(a,q,t)\right), \tag{1.7}$$

where $A_i(a,q,t)=aq^i+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$, $B_i(a,q,t)=t^2aq^i+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$ and $\{p\}=q^p-q^{-p}$.

By setting $a=q^2$ and $t=-1$, we have $A_{i-2}(q^2,q,-1)=\{i\}$, $B_{N+i-1}(q^2,q,-1)=\{N+i+1\}$. Thus we could recover the original cyclotomic expansion for the figure eight knot 4_1 in the sense of Harbiro [13],

$$J_N(4_1;q)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\{N+1-i\}\{N+1+i\}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $J_N(\mathcal{K};q)$ denotes the $N+1$ dimensional colored Jones polynomial.

Inspired by (1.7), we formulate the following cyclotomic expansion formula for superpolynomial of triply-graded reduced colored HOMFLY-PT homology.

Conjecture 1.3. *For any knot $\mathcal{K}$, there exists an integer valued invariant $\alpha(\mathcal{K})\in\mathbb{Z}$, s.t. the superpolynomial $\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ of triply-graded reduced colored HOMFLY-PT homology of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ has the following cyclotomic expansion formula*

$$(-t)^{N\alpha(\mathcal{K})}\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{N}H_k(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)\left(A_{-1}(a,q,t)\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\{N+1-i\}}{\{i\}}B_{N+i-1}(a,q,t)\right)\right) \tag{1.9}$$

with coefficient functions $H_k(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)\in\mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm1},q^{\pm1},t^{\pm1}]$, where $A_i(a,q,t)=aq^i+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$, $B_i(a,q,t)=t^2aq^i+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$ and $\{p\}=q^p-q^{-p}$.

Remark 1.4. The above Conjecture-Definition for invariant $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$ should be understood in this way. If the above conjecture of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ is true for $N=1$, then $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$ is defined. The next level of the conjecture is for $N\geq 2$ by using the same $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$. In this way, $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$ is defined even if the conjecture is only true for $N=1$.

Remark 1.5. This Conjecture could recover Conj. 1.2.

Remark 1.6. $H_k(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t)$ is independent of N, which only depends on knot $\mathcal{K}$ and integer k.

Remark 1.7. As many examples shows, one can not find such a conjecture for Poincare polynomial of Khovanov's original homology. This shows that superpolynomial has a nice property than Khovanov's polynomial in the sense of cyclotomic expansion. A possible reason to explain this phenomenon is that the differential d_2 kills the additional terms when one reduce superpolynomial to obtain the Khovanov's polynomial.

We have the following theorem for quasi-alternating knots.

Theorem 1.8. *The Conjecture 1.3 (Conj. 2.3) of case $N = 1$ is ture for any quasi-alternating knot $\mathcal{K}$. Furthermore, we have*

$$\alpha(\mathcal{K}) = -\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{K})}{2} \tag{1.10}$$

and the following expansion

$$(-t)^{-\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{K})}{2}}\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t) = 1 + (aq^{-1} + t^{-1}a^{-1}q)(t^2aq + t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-1})H_1(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t). \tag{1.11}$$

We also tested many homologically thick knots such as 10_{124}, 10_{128}, 10_{132}, 10_{136}, 10_{139}, 10_{145}, 10_{152}, 10_{153}, 10_{154} and 10_{161} to illustrate this conjecture as well as many examples with higher representation.

Torus knots and torus links are studied completely in [7]. Based on these highly non-trivial computations, we are able to prove the following theorem for all torus knots.

Theorem 1.9. *For any coprime pair $(m, n) = 1$, where $m < n$, cyclotomic expansion conjecture (Conj. 1.3) of $N = 1$ is true for torus knot $T(m, n)$ and we have $\alpha(T(m, n)) = -(m-1)(n-1)/2$.*

Now we are considering a problem relating to the sliceness of a knot.

Definition 1.10. The smooth 4-ball genus $g_4(\mathcal{K})$ of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ is the minimum genus of a surface smoothly embedded in the 4-ball B^4 with boundary the knot. In particular, a knot $\mathcal{K} \subset S^3$ is called smoothly slice if $g_4(\mathcal{K}) = 0$.

Remark 1.11. The invariant $\alpha(T(m, n)) = -(m-1)(n-1)/2$ suggest a very close relation between the above theorem and the following Milnor Conjecture, which was first proved by P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka in [22]

Conjecture 1.12 (Milnor)**.** *The smooth 4-ball genus of torus knot $T(m, n)$ is $(m-1)(n-1)/2$.*

Rasmussen [33] introduced a knot concordant invariant $s(\mathcal{K})$, which is a lower bound for the smooth 4-ball genus for knots in the following sense.

Theorem 1.13 (Rasmussen)**.** *For any knot $\mathcal{K} \subset S^3$, we have the following relation*

$$|s(\mathcal{K})| \leq 2g_4(\mathcal{K}). \tag{1.12}$$

In addition, Rasmussen again proved Milnor Conjecture by a purely combinatorial method in [33].

Based on all the knots we tested in tables in Appendix and proved via theorem, we are able to propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.14. *The invariant $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$ (determined by cyclotomic expansion conjecture (Conj 1.3 or Conj. 2.3) for $N=1$) is a lower bound for smooth 4-ball genus $g_4(\mathcal{K})$, i.e.*

$$|\alpha(\mathcal{K})| \leq g_4(\mathcal{K}). \tag{1.13}$$

Remark 1.15. For many knots we tested, it is identical to the Ozsváth-Szabó's τ invariant and Rasmussen's s invariant.

Inspired by the cyclotomic expansion formula, finally we propose volume conjecture for $SU(n)$ specialized superpolynomials of HOMFLY homology as follows

Conjecture 1.16 (Volume Conjecture for $SU(n)$ specialized superpolynomial)**.** *For any hyperbolic knot $\mathcal{K}$, we have*

$$2\pi \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K}; q^n, q, q^{-(N+n-1)})|_{q=e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N+b}}}}{N+1} = Vol(S^3\backslash\mathcal{K}) + \sqrt{-1}CS(S^3\backslash\mathcal{K}) \ (mod \sqrt{-1}\pi^2\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $b \geq 1$ and $\frac{n-1-b}{2}$ is not a positive integer.

Remark 1.17. The condition of this conjecture is much more relaxed than condition of former Volume conjectures, because here b can be any larger integers. For example, original volume conjecture is only valid for $n=2$ and $b=1$, but this volume conjecture is valid for all positive integer b with $n=2$.

Remark 1.18. It will be interesting to know the relationship of between this volume conjecture and the one proposed by Fuji, Gukov and Sulkowski in [8], where they used categorified A-polynomials of knots.

We prove this volume conjecture for the case of figure eight knot 4_1.

Theorem 1.19. *The above volume conjecture is valid for figure eight knot 4_1.*

Then we directly studied cyclotomic expansion for superpolynomial $\mathcal{F}_N(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t)$ of triply-graded reduced colored Kauffman homology formulated by S. Gukov and J. Walcher in [12]. We obtain the similar expansion conjecture.

Conjecture 1.20. *For any knot $\mathcal{K}$, there exists an integer valued invariant $\beta(\mathcal{K}) \in \mathbb{Z}$, s.t. the superpolynomial $\mathcal{F}_N(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t)$ of triply-graded reduced colored Kauffman homology of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ has the following cyclotomic expansion formula*

$$(-t)^{N\beta(\mathcal{K})}\mathcal{F}_N(\mathcal{K}; a^2, q^2, t) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} F_k(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t) \left(A_{-1}(a, q, t) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{\{2(N+1-i)\}}{\{2i\}} B_{N+i-2}(a^2, q^2, t) \right) \right) \tag{1.14}$$

with coefficient functions $F_k(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t) \in \mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$, where $A_i(a, q, t) = aq^i + t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$, $B_i(a, q, t) = t^2aq^i + t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$ and $\{p\} = q^p - q^{-p}$.

In particular, one further have $\frac{F_1(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t)}{taq + t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-1}} \in \mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$.

Remark 1.21. The above Conjecture-Definition for invariant $\beta(\mathcal{K})$ should be understood in this way. If the above conjecture of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ is true for $N=1$, then $\beta(\mathcal{K})$ is defined. The next level of the conjecutre is for $N \geq 2$ by using the same $\beta(\mathcal{K})$. In this way, $\beta(\mathcal{K})$ is defined even if the conjecture is only true for $N=1$.

Remark 1.22. $F_k(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t)$ is independent of N, which only depends on knot $\mathcal{K}$ and integer k.

We tested many examples which also involved homologically thick knot such as 8_{19} and 9_{42} and higher representation of 3_1 and 4_1.

Because Alexander polynomial and Heegaard-Floer knot polynomials can be deduced from HOMFLY-PT polynomial and superpolynomial of triply-graded reduced uncolored HOMFLY-PT homology by setting $a = 1$ and $a = t^{-1}$ respectively (under certain differential d_0 for superpolynomial case).

For many non-trivial examples we tested, we find the following expansion formula for Poincare polynomial of Heegaard-Floer knot homology.

For any knot $\mathcal{K}$, there exists an integer valued invariant $\gamma(\mathcal{K}) \in \mathbb{Z}$, s.t. Poincare polynomial $HFK(\mathcal{K}; q^2, t)$ of Heegaard-Floer knot homology of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ has the following expansion formula

$$(-t)^{\gamma(\mathcal{K})} HFK(\mathcal{K}; q^2, t) = 1 + KF(\mathcal{K}; q, t)(q + t^{-1}q^{-1})^2 \tag{1.15}$$

with coefficient functions $KF(\mathcal{K}; q, t) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$.

We test the above expression of homologically thick knots 8_{19}, 9_{42}, 10_{124}, 10_{128}, 10_{132}, 10_{136}, 10_{139}, 10_{145}, 10_{152}, 10_{153}, 10_{154}, 10_{161} and 41 homologically thick knots up to 11 crossings. We also prove two examples of Whitehead double for this expansion formula.

In section 1, we discuss the superpolynomial associated to triply-graded reduced colored HOMFLY-PT homology and argue the reason why we formulate the cyclotomic expansion conjecture in this way. We tested a lot of examples for the conjecture by using formulas from various references In addition, we prove the torus knot $T(m, n)$ for $N = 1$ case and show that the corresponding invariants $\alpha(T(m, n)) = -(m-1)(n-1)/2$. Finally we further propose a conjecture that $|\alpha(\mathcal{K})|$ could serve as a lower bound for the smooth 4-ball genus. In section 2, we propose a volume conjecture for $SU(n)$ specialized superpolynomial of colored HOMFLY homology with an emphasis on the motivation. We prove the figure eight knot for this conjecture. In section 3, we study the cyclotomic expansion for superpolynomial associated to triply-graded reduced colored Kauffman homology. Again we provide many supporting examples from the literatures. In section 4, we study an expansion formula for Poincare polynomial of Heegaard-Floer knot homology. Many homologically thick knot such as Whitehead doubles are provided. In section 5, we provide many supporting examples to the conjectures proposed in the previous sections.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Nicolai Reshetikhin for introducing me to the area of categorification in the summer of 2006, I thank Kefeng Liu and Shengmao Zhu for long term collaboration and many helpful discussion on project of various LMOV conjectures and congruent skein relations. I also thank Giovanni Felder, Matthew Hedden, Stanislav Jabuka, Andy Manion, Ciprian Manolescu, Jiajun Wang, Ben Webster and Hao Wu for helpful discussion and email correspondence on quantum invariants, categorification and superpolynomials. Finally I would like to thank Sergei Gukov, Yi Ni and Krzysztof Karol Putyra for the lightening discussion who told the author about the current situation in categorification and thank Jun Murakami for many suggestions after he read the first version of this paper. The research of the author is supported by

the National Centre of Competence in Research SwissMAP of the Swiss National Science Foundation.

2. Superpolynomials of colored HOMFLY-PT homology

After we did an intensive computation of torus knot $T(2,2p+1)$, we propose the following conjecture of congruent relations,

Conjecture 2.1. *The superpolynomial of triply-graded reduced colored HOMFLY-PT homology has the following congruent relations for torus knot $T(2,2p+1)$*

$$\begin{aligned}(-t)^{-Np}\mathcal{P}_N(T(2,2p+1);a,q,t) &\equiv (-t)^{-kp}\mathcal{P}_k(T(2,2p+1);a,q,t)\\ &\quad mod(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q)(t^2aq^{N+k}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-N-k}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ denote the superpolynomial of triply-graded reduced colored HOMFLY-PT homology of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ with N-th symmetric power of the fundamental representation.

Remark 2.2. By setting $a=q^n$ and $t=-1$, the above congruent relations reduced to

$$J_N^{SU(n)}(T(2,2p+1);a,q,t)\equiv J_k^{SU(n)}(T(2,2p+1);a,q,t)\ mod(q^{n-1}-q^{1-n})(q^{N+k+n}-q^{-N-k-n}), \tag{2.2}$$

where $J_N^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ denote a colored $SU(n)$ invariants of a knot $\mathcal{K}$.

Again by setting $n=2$, the above congruent relations reduced to

$$J_N(T(2,2p+1);a,q,t)\equiv J_k(T(2,2p+1);a,q,t)\ mod(q-q^{-1})(q^{N+k+2}-q^{-N-k-2}), \tag{2.3}$$

where $J_N(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ is just the $N+1$ dimensional colored Jones polynomial of a knot $\mathcal{K}$.

The above two congruent relations appears in a joint work of the author with K. Liu, P. Peng and S. Zhu [4]. But these two congruent relations obtained by reduction from the categorified one are actually weaker than those in [4]. It is somewhat mysterious that either the categorification procedure or a general a let the congruent relations loss the $mod(q^{N-k}-q^{k-N})$ part compared to [4].

Inspired by (1.7), we formulate the following cyclotomic expansion formula for superpolynomial of triply-graded HOMFLY-PT homology.

Conjecture 2.3. *There exists an integer valued invariant $\alpha(\mathcal{K})\in\mathbb{Z}$, s.t. superpolynomial $\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ of triply-graded reduced colored HOMFLY-PT homology of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ has the following cyclotomic expansion formula*

$$(-t)^{N\alpha(\mathcal{K})}\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{N}H_k(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)\left(A_{-1}(a,q,t)\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\{N+1-i\}}{\{i\}}B_{N+i-1}(a,q,t)\right)\right) \tag{2.4}$$

with coefficient functions $H_k(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)\in\mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm1},q^{\pm1},t^{\pm1}]$, where $A_i(a,q,t)=aq^i+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$, $B_i(a,q,t)=t^2aq^i+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$ and $\{p\}=q^p-q^{-p}$.

Remark 2.4. The above Conjecture-Definition for invariant $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$ should be understood in this way. If the above conjecture of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ is true for $N=1$, then $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$ is defined. The next level of the conjecture is for $N\geq 2$ by using the same $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$. In this way, $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$ is defined even if the conjecture is only true for $N=1$.

Remark 2.5. $H_k(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ is independent of N, which only depends on knot $\mathcal{K}$ and integer k. Because of the case of torus knot $T(2,5)$ etc, we can not make the conjecture to take $\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\{N+1-i\}}{\{i\}}A_{i-2}(a,q,t)B_{N+i-1}(a,q,t)\right)$ as the expansion basis, which is a tricky part of this conjecture.

Remark 2.6. There is another cyclotomic expansion formulation of quadruply-graded homology for 2-bridge knots and torus knots obtained in [26], and an extension to link case in [11].

For instance, we have the following expansion for $N=1$ and 2.

$$(-t)^{\alpha(\mathcal{K})}\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)=1+H_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{1})(t^2aq+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-1}) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(-t)^{2\alpha(\mathcal{K})}\mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{K};a,q,t) &= 1+H_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{1})(q+q^{-1})(t^2aq^2+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-2})\\ &\quad +H_2(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{1})(t^2aq^2+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-2})(t^2aq^3+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-3})\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

We have the following theorem for quasi-alternating knots.

Theorem 2.7. *The Conjecture 1.3 (Conj. 2.3) of case $N=1$ is true for any quasi-alternating knot $\mathcal{K}$. Furthermore, we have*

$$\alpha(\mathcal{K})=-\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{K})}{2} \tag{2.7}$$

and the following expansion

$$(-t)^{-\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{K})}{2}}\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)=1+(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q)(t^2aq+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-1})H_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t). \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. By using the skein relation for classical HOMFLY-PT polynomial $P(\mathcal{K};a,q)$, we have

$$P(\mathcal{K};a,q)=1+(aq^{-1}-a^{-1}q)(aq-a^{-1}q^{-1})f(\mathcal{K};a,q) \tag{2.9}$$

for some function $f(\mathcal{K};a,q)\in\mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm1},(q-q^{-1})^2]$.

Now combined with Theorem 1.1, arguments for quasi-alternating knot in [25] and discussion in Sec. 5.2 in [6], we could easily get the following expansion

$$(-t)^{-\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{K})}{2}}\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)=1+(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q)(t^2aq+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-1})f(\mathcal{K}_1;at,\sqrt{-1}qt^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{2.10}$$

with $H_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)=f(\mathcal{K};at,\sqrt{-1}qt^{\frac{1}{2}})\in\mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm1},q^{\pm1},t^{\pm1}]$. □

Torus knots and torus links are studied completely in [7]. Based on these highly non-trivial computations, we are able to prove the following theorem for all torus knots.

Theorem 2.8. *For any coprime pair $(m,n)=1$, where $m<n$, cyclotomic expansion conjecture (Conj. 1.3 or Conj. 2.3) of $N=1$ is true for torus knot $T(m,n)$ and we have $\alpha(T(m,n))=-(m-1)(n-1)/2$.*

Remark 2.9. Because [7] compute the superpolynomial of the mirror of torus knot $T(m,n)$, we take $\alpha(T(m,n)) = (m-1)(n-1)/2$ instead of $-(m-1)(n-1)/2$ in the following proof.

Proof. In order to prove that there is an expansion such as

$$\begin{aligned}(-t)^{(m-1)(n-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t) \\ = \quad 1+H_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q)(t^2aq+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-1})\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

□

Theorem 2.10. *Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the following two identities,

$$(-t)^{(m-1)(n-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}} = 1 \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$(-t)^{(m-1)(n-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} = 1 \tag{2.13}$$

Compared notations in this paper and in [7], there is a notation change by multiplication of $\frac{a^{(m-1)n}}{q^{(m-1)n}}$.

By setting $n = km+p$, it is easy to know $(m,p) = 1$.

The expression of the superpolynomial of triply-graded reduced non-colored HOMFLY-PT homology of torus knot $T(m,km+p)$ is given by the following

(2.14)

$$\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t) = \frac{a^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{q^{(m-1)(km+p)}}\frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}A^{m-1}\widetilde{q}^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{\{A\}\widetilde{t}^{m-1}}P(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)$$

where $\widetilde{t} = q$, $\widetilde{q} = -qt$, $A = a\sqrt{-t}$ ((48) of [7]) and $\{f(a,q,t)\} = f(a,q,t) - (f(a,q,t))^{-1}$.

The identity $P(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)$ is given by ((4) and (7) of [7])

$$P(T(m,km+p);a,q,t) = \sum_{|Q|=m}\widetilde{q}^{-2(km+p)\nu(Q')/m}\widetilde{t}^{2(km+p)\nu(Q)/m}c^Q_{(1)}M^*_Q, \tag{2.15}$$

Here M^*_R is given by the following identity ((41) of [7]),

$$M^*_R = \prod_{(i,j)\in R}\frac{A\widetilde{q}^{j-1}/\widetilde{t}^{i-1} - (A\widetilde{q}^{j-1}/\widetilde{t}^{i-1})^{-1}}{\widetilde{q}^k\widetilde{t}^{l+1} - (\widetilde{q}^k\widetilde{t}^{l+1})^{-1}}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $k = R_i - j - 1$ and $l = R'_j - i - 1$.

Then we immediately get the following expression for $\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t) \quad = \quad & \frac{a^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{q^{(m-1)(km+p)}}\frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}A^{m-1}\widetilde{q}^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{\{A\}\widetilde{t}^{m-1}} \\ & \sum_{|Q|=m}\widetilde{q}^{-2(km+p)\nu(Q')/m}\widetilde{t}^{2(km+p)\nu(Q)/m}c^Q_{(1)}M^*_Q,\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Although it is generally difficult to determine all the coefficients $c^Q_{(1)}$. In order to prove the expansion formula for $(-t)^{(m-1)(n-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)$, actually we don't need to do so. Many terms of M^*_Q will disappear after they are evaluated at $a^2 = -q^2t^{-1}$ or $a^2 = -q^{-2}t^{-3}$.

According to (2.16) ((41) of [7]), we get to know the fact that M^*_Q contain $A\widetilde{t^{-1}} - A^{-1}\widetilde{t}$ in the numerator except for $Q = (m)$ and M^*_Q contain $A\widetilde{q} - A^{-1}\widetilde{q}^{-1}$ in the numerator except for $Q = (1^m)$.

In fact, we have the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(A\widetilde{t^{-1}} - A^{-1}\widetilde{t}\right)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}} \\ = \ & \left(A^{-1}(A^2q^{-1} - q)\right)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}} \\ = \ & \left(A^{-1}(-ta^2q^{-1} - q)\right)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}} \\ = \ & 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(A\widetilde{q} - A^{-1}\widetilde{q^{-1}}\right)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\ = \ & \left(A^{-1}(-A^2qt + q^{-1}t^{-1})\right)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\ = \ & \left(A^{-1}(-ta^2qt + q^{-1}t^{-1})\right)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\ = \ & 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

In fact, only $M^*_{(m)}$ survived after they and evaluated at $a^2 = -q^2t^{-1}$ and only $M^*_{(1^m)}$ survived after they and evaluated at $a^2 = -q^{-2}t^{-3}$.

Thus we immediately obtain the following expression for $\mathcal{P}_1(T(m, km+p); a, q, t)$ evaluated at $a^2 = -q^2t^{-1}$ and $a^2 = -q^{-2}t^{-3}$ from (2.17),

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{P}_1(T(m, km+p); a, q, t))|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}} \\ = \ & (\frac{a^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{q^{(m-1)(km+p)}} \frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}A^{m-1}\widetilde{q}^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{\{A\}\widetilde{t}^{m-1}} \\ & \widetilde{q}^{-2(km+p)\nu(1^m)/m}\widetilde{t}^{2(km+p)\nu(m)/m} c^{(m)}_{(1)} M^*_{(m)})|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}} \\ = \ & (\frac{a^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{q^{(m-1)(km+p)}} \frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}A^{m-1}\widetilde{q}^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{\{A\}\widetilde{t}^{m-1}} \widetilde{q}^{-(km+p)(m-1)} c^{(m)}_{(1)} M^*_{(m)})|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}} \\ = \ & (\frac{a^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{q^{(m-1)(km+p)}} \frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}A^{m-1}}{\{A\}\widetilde{t}^{m-1}} c^{(m)}_{(1)} M^*_{(m)})|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{P}_1(T(m, km+p); a, q, t))|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\ = \ & (\frac{a^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{q^{(m-1)(km+p)}} \frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}A^{m-1}\widetilde{q}^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{\{A\}\widetilde{t}^{m-1}} \\ & \widetilde{q}^{-2(km+p)\nu(m)/m}\widetilde{t}^{2(km+p)\nu(1^m)/m} c^{(1^m)}_{(1)} M^*_{(1^m)})|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\ = \ & (\frac{a^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{q^{(m-1)(km+p)}} \frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}A^{m-1}\widetilde{q}^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{\{A\}\widetilde{t}^{m-1}} \widetilde{t}^{(km+p)(m-1)} c^{(1^m)}_{(1)} M^*_{(1^m)})|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\ = \ & (\frac{a^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{q^{(m-1)(km+p)}} \frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}A^{m-1}\widetilde{q}^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{\{A\}} \widetilde{t}^{(km+p-1)(m-1)} c^{(1^m)}_{(1)} M^*_{(1^m)})|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

(2.22)

where we used $\nu((m)) = 0$ and $\nu((1^m)) = (m-1)m/2$.

In [7], there is a trick to determine the coefficients $c^Q_{(1)}$ that one use (1) of [7] in the following sense,

$$\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,p);a,q,t)=\mathcal{P}_1(T(p,m);a,q,t) \text{ with } p<m. \tag{2.23}$$

By induction method, we can assume the following

$$(-t)^{(p-1)(m-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(p,m);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}}=1 \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$(-t)^{(p-1)(m-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(p,m);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}}=1 \tag{2.25}$$

We need to prove the following

$$(-t)^{(m-1)(km+p-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}}=1 \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$(-t)^{(m-1)(km+p-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}}=1 \tag{2.27}$$

Conbined with (2.20) and (2.22), we can immediately check the initial case for $p=1$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-t)^{(1-1)(m-1)/2}\left(\mathcal{P}_1(T(1,m);a,q,t)\right)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}}\\
=&\left(\frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}}{\{A\}}c^{(1)}_{(1)}M^*_{(1)}\right)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}}\\
=&\left(\frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}}{\{A\}}\frac{A-A^{-1}}{\widetilde{t}-\widetilde{t}^{-1}}\right)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}}\\
=&\ 1
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-t)^{(1-1)(m-1)/2}\left(\mathcal{P}_1(T(1,m);a,q,t)\right)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}}\\
=&\left(\frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}}{\{A\}}c^{(1)}_{(1)}M^*_{(1)}\right)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}}\\
=&\left(\frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}}{\{A\}}\frac{A-A^{-1}}{\widetilde{t}-\widetilde{t}^{-1}}\right)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}}\\
=&\ 1
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

From (2.20), (2.23) and (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-t)^{(m-1)(km+p-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}}\\
=&\ (-t)^{(m-1)(km+p-1)/2}\frac{a^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{q^{(m-1)(km+p)}}\frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}A^{m-1}}{\{A\}\widetilde{t}^{m-1}}c^{(m)}_{(1)}M^*_{(m)}|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}}\\
=&\left((-t)^{(m-1)km/2}\frac{a^{(m-1)km}}{q^{(m-1)km}}\right)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}}\left((-t)^{(m-1)(p-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,p);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}}\right)\\
=&\ 1\cdot\left((-t)^{(m-1)(p-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(p,m);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^2t^{-1}}\right)\\
=&\ 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which is just (2.26).

Similarly, from (2.22), (2.23) and (2.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-t)^{(m-1)(km+p-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,km+p);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\
= \; & (-t)^{(m-1)(km+p-1)/2}\frac{a^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{q^{(m-1)(km+p)}}\frac{\{\widetilde{t}\}A^{m-1}\widetilde{q}^{(m-1)(km+p)}}{\{A\}}\widetilde{t}^{(km+p-1)(m-1)}c^{(1^m)}_{(1)}M^*_{(1^m)}|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\
= \; & \left((-t)^{(m-1)km/2}\frac{a^{(m-1)km}}{q^{(m-1)km}}\widetilde{q}^{(m-1)km}\widetilde{t}^{km(m-1)}\right)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\
& \left((-t)^{(m-1)(p-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(m,p);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}}\right) \\
= \; & \left((-t)^{(m-1)km/2}\frac{a^{(m-1)km}}{q^{(m-1)km}}(-qt)^{(m-1)km}q^{km(m-1)}\right)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\
& \left((-t)^{(m-1)(p-1)/2}\mathcal{P}_1(T(p,m);a,q,t)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}}\right) \\
= \; & \left((-t)^{3(m-1)km/2}(aq)^{(m-1)km}\right)|_{a^2=-q^{-2}t^{-3}} \\
= \; & 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which is just (2.27).

Thus we complete our proof. □

Now we are considering a problem relating to the sliceness of a knot.

Definition 2.11. The smooth 4-ball genus $g_4(\mathcal{K})$ of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ is the minimum genus of a surface smoothly embedded in the 4-ball B^4 with boundary the knot. In particular, a knot $\mathcal{K} \subset S^3$ is called smoothly slice if $g_4(\mathcal{K}) = 0$.

Remark 2.12. The invariant $\alpha(T(m,n)) = -(m-1)(n-1)/2$ suggest a very close relation between the above theorem and the following Milnor Conjecture, which was first proved by P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka in [22]

Conjecture 2.13 (Milnor)**.** *The smooth 4-ball genus for torus knot $T(m,n)$ is $(m-1)(n-1)/2$.*

Rasmussen [33] introduced a knot concordant invariant $s(\mathcal{K})$, which is a lower bound for the smooth 4-ball genus for knots in the following sense.

Theorem 2.14 (Rasmussen)**.** *For any knot $\mathcal{K} \subset S^3$, we have the following relation*

$$|s(\mathcal{K})| \leq 2g_4(\mathcal{K}). \tag{2.30}$$

In addition, Rasmussen again proved Milnor Conjecture by a purely combinatorial method in [33].

Based on all the above results shown in table or proved via theorem, we are able to propose the following conjecture

Conjecture 2.15. *The invariant $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$ (determined by cyclotomic expansion conjecture (Conj 1.3 or Conj. 2.3) for $N = 1$) is a lower bound for smooth 4-ball genus $g_4(\mathcal{K})$, i.e.*

$$|\alpha(\mathcal{K})| \leq g_4(\mathcal{K}). \tag{2.31}$$

Remark 2.16. For many knots we tested, it is identical to the Ozsváth-Szabó's τ invariant and Rasmussen's s invariant.

3. Volume conjecture for SU(n) specialized superpolynomial of HOMFLY-PT homology

First we present certain motivation to propose our Volume Conjecture for superpolynomials assocaited to triply-graded reduced colored HOMFLY homologies.

From (1.7), we have the following expression for figure eight knot 4_1,

$$\mathcal{P}_{N-1}(4_1;a,q,t)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\{N-i\}}{\{i\}}A_{i-2}(a,q,t)B_{N-2+i}(a,q,t)\right). \tag{3.1}$$

where $A_i(a,q,t)=aq^i+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$, $B_i(a,q,t)=t^2aq^i+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$ and $\{p\}=q^p-q^{-p}$.

The idea of "Gap" in [5] plays an important role in proposing Volume Conjectures. The middle terms in the cyclotomic expansion of colored $SU(n)$ invariants of figure eight knot is $\{N\}$ and $\{N+n\}$, thus "Gaps" are $N+1$, $N+2$,..., $N+n-1$. We choose these "Gaps" as our roots of unity.

Conjecture presented in [5] is the following

Conjecture 3.1 (Volume Conjecture for colored $SU(n)$ invariants [5])**.** *For any hyperbolic knot $\mathcal{K}$, we have*

$$2\pi\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\log J_N^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{K};e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N+a}})}{N+1}=Vol(S^3\backslash\mathcal{K})+\sqrt{-1}CS(S^3\backslash\mathcal{K})\ (mod\sqrt{-1}\pi^2\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $a=1,2,...,n-1$.

Now we apply the same motivation of "Gaps" here, which seems a little bit more complicated. Because "Gaps" in cyclotomic expansion of colored $SU(n)$ invariants of figure eight knot are essentially certain equation with only q involved; while "Gaps" in cyclotomic expansion of $SU(n)$ specialized superpolynomial of colored HOMFLY-PT homology are equations of both q and t involved.

By looking at middle terms $A_{N-3}(q^n,q,t)=q^{N+n-3}+t^{-1}q^{-(N+n-3)}$ and $B_{N-1}(q^n,q,t)=(-t)^2q^{N-1+n}+t^{-1}q^{-(N+n-1)}$, we get to know the possible "Gaps" is the following equation

$$(-t)q^{N+n-2}+t^{-1}q^{-(N+n-2)}=0$$

By solving equation

$$tq^{N+n-2}=t^{-1}q^{-(N+n-2)},$$

We take one solution

$$t=q^{-(N+n-2)}$$

Thus we obtain the following expression for A_i and B_i,

$$\begin{aligned}A_i(q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)}) &= q^{i+n}+q^{N-i-2}\\ B_i(q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)}) &= q^{-2N-n+i+4}+q^{N-i-2}\end{aligned}$$

Then we express the A_i and B_i at roots of unity $q=e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N-1+b}}=e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N+\widetilde{b}}}$, where $\widetilde{b}=b-1$, in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} A_i(q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)}) &= q^{\frac{n-\widetilde{b}-2}{2}}(q^{\frac{\widetilde{b}+2i-n+2}{2}}-q^{-\frac{\widetilde{b}+2i-n+2}{2}}) \\ B_i(q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)}) &= q^{\frac{\widetilde{b}-n+2}{2}}(q^{\frac{n-2i-\widetilde{b}-2}{2}}-q^{-\frac{n-2i-\widetilde{b}-2}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

Now we are able to write down the $SU(n)$ specialized superpolynomial $\mathcal{P}_{N-1}(4_1;q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)})$ at roots of unity $q=e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N-1+b}}$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{N-1}(4_1;q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)})=1+\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}g(N,j), \tag{3.2}$$

where $g(N,j)=\prod_{k=1}^{j}f(N,k)$ and $f(N,k)=4\frac{\sin\frac{(\frac{n-2+\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}}{\sin\frac{k\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}}\sin\frac{(k+\widetilde{b})\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}\sin\frac{(-\frac{n-2-\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}$.

Remark 3.2. For $b=n-1$, i.e. $\widetilde{b}=n-2$ and $t=q^{-(N+n-2)}=e^{-\frac{N+n-2}{N+\widetilde{b}}}=-1$, which is the decategorified case,, we have $f(N,k)=\left(2\sin\frac{(n-2+k)\pi}{N+n-2}\right)^2$. This corresponds to the (3.25) of [5].

Now we propose volume conjecture for $SU(n)$ specialized superpolynomials of HOMFLY-PT homology as follows

Conjecture 3.3 (Volume Conjecture for $SU(n)$ specialized superpolynomial)**.** *For any hyperbolic knot $\mathcal{K}$, we have*

$$2\pi\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\log\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K};q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-1)})|_{q=e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N+b}}}}{N+1}=Vol(S^3\backslash\mathcal{K})+\sqrt{-1}CS(S^3\backslash\mathcal{K})\ (mod\sqrt{-1}\pi^2\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $b\geq 1$ and $\frac{n-1-b}{2}$ is not a positive integer.

Remark 3.4. The condition of this conjecture is much more relaxed than condition of former Volume conjectures, because here b can be any larger integers. For example, original volume conjecture is only valid for $n=2$ and $b=1$, but this volume conjecture is valid for all positive integer b with $n=2$.

Remark 3.5. It will be interesting to know the relationship of between this volume conjecture and the one proposed by Fuji, Gukov and Sulkowski in [8], where they used categorified A-polynomials of knots.

We prove this volume conjecture for the case of figure eight knot 4_1.

Theorem 3.6. *The above volume conjecture is valid for figure eight knot 4_1.*

Proof. The $SU(n)$ specialized superpolynomial $\mathcal{P}_{N-1}(4_1;q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)})$ at roots of unity $q=e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N-1+b}}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{N-1}(4_1;q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)})=1+\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}g(N,j), \tag{3.3}$$

where $g(N,j) = \prod_{k=1}^{j} f(N,k)$, $f(N,k) = 4\frac{\sin\frac{(\frac{n-2+\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}}{\sin\frac{k\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}}\sin\frac{(k+\widetilde{b})\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}\sin\frac{(-\frac{n-2-\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}$ and $\widetilde{b} = b-1$.

Condition that $\frac{n-1-b}{2}$ is not a positive integer assures that $\sin\frac{(-\frac{n-2-\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq N-1$.

There is an fact that $f(N,k)$ can be negative only for very small k.

Thus when we do the estimation for $g(N,k)$, the sign of $g(N,k)$ is not changed for $k > \frac{n-2-\widetilde{b}}{2}$.

Similar to the proof in [5], our task is to search for k_m such that $|g(N,k)|$ reaches its maximum value.

We claim the following inequalities (Similar to Lemma 3.7 of [5]),

$$\left\lfloor \frac{5}{6}(N+\widetilde{b}) - \frac{3(n-2)+7\widetilde{b}}{4} \right\rfloor \leq k_m \leq \left\lfloor \frac{5}{6}(N+\widetilde{b}) + \frac{n-2-\widetilde{b}}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

where the floor function $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer that is less than or equal to x.

The upper bound of k_m is clear, in fact if $k_m \geq \frac{5}{6}(N+\widetilde{b}) + \frac{n-2-\widetilde{b}}{2}$, then $f(N,k_m) < 1$

We need to estimate a lower bound of k_m, we can assume $\frac{1}{2} \leq k_m \leq \frac{11}{12}$

$$\frac{\sin\frac{(\frac{n-2+\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}}{\sin\frac{k\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}} = \sin\frac{\frac{n-2+\widetilde{b}}{2}\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}\cot\frac{k\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}} + \cos\frac{\frac{n-2+\widetilde{b}}{2}\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}$$

Set $\frac{n-2+\widetilde{b}}{2(N+\widetilde{b})}\pi = \alpha$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq k \leq \frac{11}{12}$, we have $\frac{\sin\frac{(\frac{n-2+\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}}{\sin\frac{k\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 - \cot\frac{\pi}{12}\alpha$,

where we used the inequality: $\sin\alpha < \alpha$ and $\cos\alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2$ for small $\alpha > 0$.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\sin\frac{(k+\widetilde{b})\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}\sin\frac{(-\frac{n-2-\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}} &\geq 4\sin^2\frac{(k+\widetilde{b})\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}} \\ &= 4\sin^2(\frac{5\pi}{6}-\beta) \\ &= 1 + 2\sqrt{3}\sin\beta + 2\sin^2\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = \frac{5\pi}{6} - \frac{(k+\widetilde{b})\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}$.

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(N,k) &= 4\frac{\sin\frac{(\frac{n-2+\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}}{\sin\frac{k\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}}\sin\frac{(k+\widetilde{b})\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}\sin\frac{(-\frac{n-2-\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}} \\ &\geq (1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 - \cot\frac{\pi}{12}\alpha)(1+2\sqrt{3}\sin\beta+2\sin^2\beta) \\ &= 1 + 2\sqrt{3}\beta - (2+\sqrt{3})\alpha + O(\alpha^2) + O(\beta^2) \end{aligned}$$

If we let $k_0 = \frac{5}{6}(N+\widetilde{b}) - \frac{3(n-2)+7\widetilde{b}}{4}$ Then $\beta = \frac{3}{2}\alpha$, we have $f(N,k) > 1$.

By a similar argument in Lemma 3.8 of [5] (corresponding to $s = 1$ case there) and remembering that $\sin\frac{(-\frac{n-2-\widetilde{b}}{2}+k)\pi}{N+\widetilde{b}}$ could take negative values for small integer k, we have

$$|g(N,k_m)| \leq |\mathcal{P}_{N-1}(4_1;q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)})| \leq N|g(N,k_m)|$$

for sufficient large N.

By the method in the proof of Lemma 3.5 and argument in Proposition 3.10 in [5], we could finish the proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{2\pi\log\mathcal{P}_{N-1}(4_1;q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)})}{N}\\
=\;& 2\pi\frac{5}{6}\log 4+2\pi\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{\frac{5\pi}{6}}\log|\sin(t)|dt\\
=\;& 4\int_0^{\frac{5\pi}{6}}\log|2\sin(t)|dt\\
=\;& 6\Lambda(\pi/3)\\
=\;& Vol(S^3\backslash 4_1)
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Superpolynomials of colored Kauffman homology

In this section, we study cyclotomic expansion for superpolynomial $\mathcal{F}_N(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ of triply-graded reduced colored Kauffman homology formulated by S. Gukov and J. Walcher in [12]. We obtain the similar expansion conjecture.

Conjecture 4.1. *For any knot $\mathcal{K}$, there exists an integer valued invariant $\beta(\mathcal{K})\in\mathbb{Z}$, s.t. the superpolynomial $\mathcal{F}_N(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ of triply-graded reduced colored Kauffman homology of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ has the following cyclotomic expansion formula*

(4.1)

$$(-t)^{N\beta(\mathcal{K})}\mathcal{F}_N(\mathcal{K};a^2,q^2,t)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{N}F_k(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)\left(A_{-1}(a,q,t)\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\{2(N+1-i)\}}{\{2i\}}B_{N+i-2}(a^2,q^2,t)\right)\right)$$

with coefficient functions $F_k(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)\in\mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm1},q^{\pm1},t^{\pm1}]$, where $A_i(a,q,t)=aq^i+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$, $B_i(a,q,t)=t^2aq^i+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}$ and $\{p\}=q^p-q^{-p}$.

In particular, one further have $\frac{F_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)}{taq+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-1}}\in\mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm1},q^{\pm1},t^{\pm1}]$.

Remark 4.2. The above Conjecture-Definition for invariant $\beta(\mathcal{K})$ should be understood in this way. If the above conjecture of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ is true for $N=1$, then $\beta(\mathcal{K})$ is defined. The next level of the conjecture is for $N\geq 2$ by using the same $\beta(\mathcal{K})$. In this way, $\beta(\mathcal{K})$ is defined even though the conjecture is only true for $N=1$.

Remark 4.3. $F_k(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ is independent of N, which only depends on knot $\mathcal{K}$ and integer k.

Remark 4.4. One can also make the conjecture for $\mathcal{F}_N(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)$ instead of $\mathcal{F}_N(\mathcal{K};a^2,q^2,t)$, but one will get a factor $a+t^{-1}q$ instead of $A_1(a,q,t)=aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q$, which is a symmetric form by setting $t=-1$.

For instance, we have the following expansion for $N=1$ and 2.

$$(-t)^{\beta(\mathcal{K})}\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)=1+F_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^1)(t^2a^2+t^{-1}a^{-2}) \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(-t)^{2\beta(\mathcal{K})}\mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{K};a,q,t) &= 1+F_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^1)(q^2+q^{-2})(t^2a^2q^2+t^{-1}a^{-2}q^{-2})\\ &\quad +F_2(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^1)(t^2a^2q^2+t^{-1}a^{-2}q^{-2})(t^2a^2q^4+t^{-1}a^{-2}q^{-4})\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Now we list a table of these cyclotomic expansion coefficients of superpolynomials for colored Kauffman Homology with small crossing numbers, where we used tables from pp40 in [12].

$\mathcal{K}$	$\sigma(\mathcal{K})$	$\beta(\mathcal{K})$	$K_1(\mathcal{K},a,q,t)/(aqt+a^{-1}q^{-1}t^{-1})$
3_1	-2	2	$-a^4t^3+a^6q^{\underline{2}}t^4+a^6q^2t^5$
4_1	0	0	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+1+q^4t^1$
5_1	-4	4	$-a^4t^3+a^6q^{\underline{2}}t^4+a^6q^2t^5-a^8q^{\underline{4}}t^5-a^8q^4t^7$ $+a^{10}q^{\underline{6}}t^6+a^{10}q^6t^9+a^{14}q^{\underline{2}}t^{10}+a^{14}q^2t^{11}$
5_2	-2	2	$-a^4t^3+a^6q^{\underline{2}}t^4+a^6q^2t^5+a^8t^6+a^{10}q^{\underline{6}}t^6+a^{10}q^{\underline{2}}t^7+a^{10}q^2t^8+a^{10}q^6t^9$
6_1	0	0	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+1+q^4t^1+a^2q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+a^2q^2t^2$ $+a^4q^{\underline{8}}t^1+a^4q^{\underline{4}}t^2+a^4t^3+a^4q^4t^4+a^4q^8t^5$
6_2	-2	2	$a^4q^{\underline{8}}t^1+a^4q^{\underline{4}}t^2+a^4t^3+a^4q^4t^4+a^4q^8t^5+a^6q^{\underline{6}}t^3$ $+2a^6q^{\underline{2}}t^4+2a^6q^2t^5+a^6q^6t^6+a^8q^{\underline{4}}t^5+2a^8t^6+a^8q^4t^7$
6_3	0	0	$a^{\underline{2}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{3}}+2a^{\underline{2}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}+2a^{\underline{2}}q^2t^{\underline{1}}+a^{\underline{2}}q^6+q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{2}}+2q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}$ $+3+2q^4t^1+q^8t^2+a^2q^{\underline{6}}+2a^2q^{\underline{2}}t+2a^2q^2t^2+a^2q^6t^3$
8_{19}	6	-6	$a^{\underline{22}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{18}}+a^{\underline{22}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{17}}+a^{\underline{22}}q^2t^{\underline{17}}+a^{\underline{22}}q^2t^{\underline{16}}+a^{\underline{18}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{16}}+a^{\underline{18}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{15}}$ $+a^{\underline{18}}q^6t^{\underline{13}}+a^{\underline{18}}q^6t^{\underline{12}}+a^{\underline{16}}t^{\underline{13}}+a^{\underline{14}}q^{\underline{10}}t^{\underline{13}}+a^{\underline{14}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{11}}$ $+a^{\underline{14}}q^{10}t^{\underline{8}}+a^{\underline{14}}q^2t^{\underline{10}}-a^{\underline{12}}q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{11}}-a^{\underline{12}}t^{\underline{9}}-a^{\underline{12}}q^8t^{\underline{7}}+a^{\underline{10}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{9}}+a^{\underline{10}}q^6t^{\underline{6}}$ $-a^{\underline{8}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{7}}-a^{\underline{8}}q^4t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{6}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{6}}q^2t^{\underline{4}}-a^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{3}}$
9_{42}	2	0	$a^{\underline{2}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{2}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{4}}+a^{\underline{2}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{4}}+a^{\underline{2}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{3}}+a^{\underline{2}}q^2t^{\underline{3}}+a^{\underline{2}}q^2t^{\underline{2}}+a^{\underline{2}}q^6t^{\underline{2}}$ $+a^{\underline{2}}q^6t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{12}}t^{\underline{5}}+q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{3}}+q^4t^{\underline{1}}+q^8+q^{12}t^1+a^2q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{2}}+a^2q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}$ $+a^2q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+a^2q^{\underline{2}}+2a^2t^{\underline{2}}+2a^2t^{\underline{1}}+a^2q^2+a^2q^2t^1+a^2q^6t^1+a^2q^6t^2$

Now we listed the tables for knot 3_1 and 4_1 with higher representation involved, where we used data from [28]. Indeed, we checked much higher representation, we only listed results for $K_2(\mathcal{K}, a, q, t)$.

$\mathcal{K}$	$\sigma(\mathcal{K})$	$\beta(\mathcal{K})$	$K_2(\mathcal{K}, a, q, t)$
3_1	-2	2	$a^5q^1t^3 - a^7q^{\underline{1}}t^4 - a^9q^1t^5 - a^9q^1t^6 - a^9q^5t^6 - a^9q^5t^7 - a^9q^9t^7$ $+a^{11}q^{\underline{1}}t^6 + a^{13}q^5t^8 + a^{13}q^9t^8 + 2a^{13}q^9t^9 + a^{13}q^{13}t^9 + a^{13}q^{13}t^{10}$ $+a^{13}q^{17}t^{10} + a^{13}q^{21}t^{11} + a^{15}q^{11}t^{10} + a^{15}q^{15}t^{11} + a^{15}q^{19}t^{11} + a^{15}q^{23}t^{12}$
4_1	0	0	$a^3q^{\underline{19}}t^{\underline{5}} + a^3q^{\underline{15}}t^{\underline{4}} + a^3q^{\underline{11}}t^{\underline{4}} + 2a^3q^{\underline{7}}t^{\underline{3}} + a^3q^{\underline{3}}t^{\underline{3}} + a^3q^3t^{\underline{2}} + a^3q^1t^{\underline{2}}$ $+a^{\underline{3}}q^5t^{\underline{1}} + a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{17}}t^{\underline{4}} + 2a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{13}}t^{\underline{3}} + 2a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{9}}t^{\underline{3}} + 2a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{9}}t^{\underline{2}} + 4a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{5}}t^{\underline{2}} + a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{5}}t^{\underline{1}}$ $+a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{1}}t^{\underline{2}} + 4a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{1}}t^{\underline{1}} + 3a^{\underline{1}}q^3t^{\underline{1}} + 2a^{\underline{1}}q^3 + 3a^{\underline{1}}q^7 + a^{\underline{1}}q^7t^1 + a^{\underline{1}}q^{11}t^1$ $+a^1q^{\underline{11}}t^{\underline{2}} + a^1q^{\underline{7}}t^{\underline{2}} + 3a^1q^{\underline{7}}t^{\underline{1}} + 2a^1q^{\underline{3}}t^{\underline{1}} + 3a^1q^{\underline{3}} + 4a^1q^1 + a^1q^1t^1$ $+a^1q^5 + 4a^1q^5t^1 + 2a^1q^9t^1 + 2a^1q^9t^2 + 2a^1q^{13}t^2 + a^1q^{17}t^3 + a^3q^{\underline{5}}$ $+a^3q^{\underline{1}}t^1 + a^3q^3t^1 + a^3q^3t^2 + 2a^3q^7t^2 + a^3q^{11}t^3 + a^3q^{15}t^3 + a^3q^{19}t^4$

Remark 4.5. For these examples we tested, we found that $\beta(\mathcal{K}) = 2\alpha(\mathcal{K})$.

5. Poincare Polynomial of Heegaard-Floer Knot Homology

There is a well-known result that Heeggard-Floer homology of an alternative knot can be determined by a very simple method with only Alexander polynomials and signature involved. This result was proved by Ozsváth-Szabó [29].

Theorem 5.1 (Ozsváth-Szabó)**.** *Let $K\subset S^3$ be an alternating knot with Alexander-Conway polynomial $\Delta_K(q) = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} a_s q^s$ and signature $\sigma = \sigma(K)$. Then we have*

$$\widehat{HFK}_i(K, s) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{|a_s|} & \text{if } i = s + \frac{\sigma}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

It was shown by C. Manolescu and P.S. Ozsváth [25] that quasi-alternating knots hold the same results. So it is trivial to check for these invariants.

Thus we only focus on those homological thick knots with small crossing numbers described by M. Khovanov on pp. 3 in [19] (up to 10 crossings) and further test 41 homologically thick knots up to 11 crossings.

We observe an expansion formula for Poincare polynomial of Heegaard-Floer knot homology.

For a knot $\mathcal{K}$, there exists an integer valued invariant $\gamma(\mathcal{K}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ of a knot $\mathcal{K}$, s.t. Poincare polynomial $HFK(\mathcal{K}; q^2, t)$ of Heegaard-Floer knot homology of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ has the following expansion formula

$$(-t)^{\gamma(\mathcal{K})} HFK(\mathcal{K}; q^2, t) = 1 + KF(\mathcal{K}; q, t)(q + t^{-1}q^{-1})^2 \tag{5.2}$$

with coefficient functions $KF(\mathcal{K}; q, t) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$.

Similar to the invariant τ introduced in Heegaard-Floer theory, we also have the following theorem for quasi-alternating knots.

Theorem 5.2. *The above expansion formula (5.2) is true for any quasi-alternating knot $\mathcal{K}$. Furthermore, we have*

$$\gamma(\mathcal{K}) = -\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{K})}{2} \tag{5.3}$$

and the following expansion

$$(-t)^{-\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{K})}{2}} HFK(\mathcal{K}; q^2, t) = 1 + (q + t^{-1}q^{-1})^2 KF(\mathcal{K}; q, t), \tag{5.4}$$

where $\sigma(\mathcal{K})$ is the signature of a knot $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof. By using the skein relation for classical Alexander polynomial, we have

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{K}}(q^2) = 1 + (q - q^{-1})^2 f(\mathcal{K}; q) \tag{5.5}$$

for some function $f(\mathcal{K}; q) \in \mathbb{Z}[(q - q^{-1})^2]$.

Now combined with Theorem 4.1 and arguments for quasi-alternating knot in [25], we could easily get the following expansion

$$(-t)^{-\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{K})}{2}} HFK(\mathcal{K}; q^2, t) = 1 - t(q + t^{-1}q^{-1})^2 f(\mathcal{K}; \sqrt{-1}qt^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{5.6}$$

with $KF(\mathcal{K}; q, t) = -tf(\mathcal{K}; \sqrt{-1}qt^{\frac{1}{2}}) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$. □

Now we prove some series examples of whitehead doubles, which has particular interest for topologists.

In [14], M. Hedden obtain the following Heegaard-Floer homology for the iterated Whitehead doubles of figure eight knot.

Theorem 5.3. *Let 4_1 be the figure eight knot and let D^n denote the n-th iterated untwisted Whitehead double of 4_1 i.e. $D^0 = 4_1$, $D^n = D_+(D^{n-1}, 0)$, then we have*

$$\widehat{HFK}_*(D^n, i) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{(1-k)}^{2^n \binom{n}{k}} & i = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{(0)} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{(-k)}^{2^{n+1} \binom{n}{k}} & i = 0 \\ \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{(-1-k)}^{2^n \binom{n}{k}} & i = -1 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Thus we are able to write the corresponding Poincare polynomial of $\widehat{HFK}_*(D^n, i)$ as follows

$$HFK(D^n; q^2, t) = 1 + 2^n(1 + t^{-1})^n(tq^2 + 2 + t^{-1}q^{-2}). \tag{5.7}$$

Then we immediately obtain the following theorem, which verify the expansion conjecture of Poincare polynomial of Heegaard-Floer homology

Theorem 5.4. *The expansion formula (5.2) is valid for n-th iterated untwisted Whitehead double D^n of 4_1. In fact, the invariant $\gamma(D^n) = 0$ and Poincare polynomial have the following expansion with coefficient $KF(D^n; q, t) = 2^n t(1 + t^{-1})^n$.*

$$(-t)^{\gamma(D^n)} HFK(D^n; q^2, t) = 1 + 2^n t(1 + t^{-1})^n(q + t^{-1}q^{-1})^2. \tag{5.8}$$

Now we look at another example of Whitehead double.

Followed the idea from [14], K. Park [31] explicitly obtain the following Heegaard-Floer homology for the untwisted whitehead double of torus knot $T(2,2m+1)$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $D(T(2,2m+1))$ denote the untwisted Whitehead double of torus knot $T(2,2m+1)$, then we have*

$$\widehat{HFK}_*(D(T(2,2m+1)),i)\cong\begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}^{2m}_{(0)}\bigoplus\mathbb{Z}^2_{(-1)}\bigoplus\mathbb{Z}^2_{(-3)}\bigoplus\cdots\bigoplus\mathbb{Z}^2_{(-2m+1)} & i=1\\ \mathbb{Z}^{4m-1}_{(-1)}\bigoplus\mathbb{Z}^4_{(-2)}\bigoplus\mathbb{Z}^4_{(-4)}\bigoplus\cdots\bigoplus\mathbb{Z}^4_{(-2m)} & i=0\\ \mathbb{Z}^{2m}_{(-2)}\bigoplus\mathbb{Z}^2_{(-3)}\bigoplus\mathbb{Z}^2_{(-5)}\bigoplus\cdots\bigoplus\mathbb{Z}^2_{(-2m-1)} & i=-1\\ 0 & otherwise\end{cases}$$

Thus we are able to write the corresponding Poincare polynomial of $\widehat{HFK}_*(D(T(2,2m+1)),i)$ as follows

(5.9)

$$HFK(D(T(2,2m+1));q^2,t)=2mq^2+(4m-1)t^{-1}+2mt^{-2}q^{-2}+(2t^{-1}q^2+4t^{-2}+2t^{-3}q^{-2})\frac{1-t^{-2m}}{1-t^{-2}}.$$

We have the following expansion

$$(-t)^1HFK(D(T(2,2m+1));q^2,t)-1=-2(q+t^{-1}q^{-1})^2(mt+\frac{1-t^{-2m}}{1-t^{-2}}) \tag{5.10}$$

Then we immediately obtain the following theorem, which verify the expansion formula of Poincare polynomial of Heegaard-Floer homology

Theorem 5.6. *The expansion formula (5.2) is valid for untwisted Whitehead double $D(T(2,2m+1))$ of torus knot $T(2,2m+1)$. In fact, the invariant $\gamma(D(T(2,2m+1)))=1$ and the Poincare polynomial have the expansion predicted in the conjecture with coefficient $KF(D(T(2,2m+1));q,t)=-2mt-2\frac{1-t^{-2m}}{1-t^{-2}}$.*

6. Appendix

6.1. **Cyclotomic expansions for triply-graded reduced HOMFLY-PT homology.** For instance, we have the following expansion for $N=1$ and 2.

$$(-t)^{\alpha(\mathcal{K})}\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)=1+H_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^1)(t^2aq+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-1}) \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(-t)^{2\alpha(\mathcal{K})}\mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{K};a,q,t) &= 1+H_1(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^1)(q+q^{-1})(t^2aq^2+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-2})\\ &\quad +H_2(\mathcal{K};a,q,t)(aq^{-1}+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^1)(t^2aq^2+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-2})(t^2aq^3+t^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-3})\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

We test the expression of knots 3_1-7_7 obtained in [6], which are quasi-alternating knots. Here we just explicitly provide their value for $H_1(\mathcal{K},a,q,t)$.

$\mathcal{K}$	σ	g_4	s	α	$H_1(\mathcal{K}, a, q, t)$
3_1	-2	1	2	1	$-a^2t^2$
4_1	0	0	0	0	1
5_1	-4	2	4	2	$-a^2t^2 + a^4q^{\underline{2}}t^3 + a^4q^2t^5$
5_2	-2	1	2	1	$-a^2t^2 - a^4t^4$
6_1	0	0	0	0	$1 + a^2t^2$
6_2	-2	1	2	1	$-a^2q^{\underline{2}}t^1 - a^2t^2 - a^2q^2t^3$
6_3	0	0	0	0	$q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}} + 1 + q^2t^1$
7_1	-6	3	6	3	$-a^2t^2 + a^4q^{\underline{2}}t^3 + a^4q^2t^5 - a^6q^{\underline{4}}t^4 - a^6t^6 - a^6q^4t^8$
7_2	-2	1	2	1	$-a^2t^2 - a^4t^4 - a^6t^6$
7_3	4	-2	-4	-2	$a^{\underline{6}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{7}} + a^{\underline{6}}q^2t^{\underline{5}} + a^{\underline{4}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{5}} + a^{\underline{4}}q^2t^{\underline{3}} - a^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}$
7_4	2	-1	-2	-1	$-a^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{6}} - 2a^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{4}} - a^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}$
7_5	-4	2	4	2	$-a^2t^2 + a^4q^{\underline{2}}t^3 + a^4q^2t^5 + a^6q^{\underline{2}}t^5 + a^6t^6 + a^6q^2t^7$
7_6	-2	1	2	1	$-a^2q^{\underline{2}}t^1 - 2a^2t^2 - a^2q^2t^3 - a^4t^4$
7_7	0	0	0	0	$a^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}} + q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}} + 2 + q^2t^1$

Remark 6.1. Notations σ, g_4 and s stands for the signature, smooth 4-ball genus and Rasmussen s invaraint of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ respectively, and $a^{\underline{u}}q^{\underline{v}}t^{\underline{w}}$ denotes term $a^{-u}q^{-v}t^{-w}$.

We test more homologically thick knots. Expression of 8_{19} and 9_{42} obtained in [10](We make a variable change $a \to a^{-2}$, $q \to q^{-2}$, and $t \to t^{-1}$, because they use mirror knot). Knots 10_{124}, 10_{128}, 10_{132}, 10_{136}, 10_{139}, 10_{145}, 10_{152}, 10_{153}, 10_{154} and 10_{161} are obtained in pp.42-45 [6].

We converted dotted diagrams shown in pp.42-45 [6] to the following table.

$\mathcal{K}$	$\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{K},a,q,t)$
8_{19}	$a^{\underline{10}}t^{\underline{8}}+a^{\underline{8}}(q^4t^{\underline{3}}+t^{\underline{5}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{7}}+q^2t^{\underline{5}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{7}})$ $+a^{\underline{6}}(q^6+q^2t^{\underline{2}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{6}}+t^{\underline{4}})$
9_{42}	$a^{\underline{2}}(q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{4}}+q^2t^{\underline{2}})+(q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{3}}+2t^{\underline{1}}+1+q^4t^1)+a^2(q^{\underline{2}}+q^2t^2)$
10_{124}	$a^{\underline{12}}(q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{10}}+q^2t^{\underline{8}})+a^{\underline{10}}(q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{9}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{9}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{7}}+2t^{\underline{7}}+q^2t^{\underline{5}}+q^4t^{\underline{5}}$ $+q^6t^{\underline{3}})+a^{\underline{8}}(q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{8}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{6}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{6}}+t^{\underline{4}}+q^2t^{\underline{4}}+q^4t^{\underline{2}}+q^8)$
10_{128}	$a^{\underline{12}}t^{\underline{10}}+a^{\underline{10}}(q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{9}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{9}}+t^{\underline{7}}+t^{\underline{8}}+q^2t^{\underline{7}}+q^4t^{\underline{5}})+a^{\underline{8}}(q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{8}}$ $+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{7}}+2q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{6}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{7}}+t^{\underline{5}}+t^{\underline{6}}+2q^2t^{\underline{4}}+q^2t^{\underline{5}}+q^4t^{\underline{3}}+q^6t^{\underline{2}})$ $+a^{\underline{6}}(q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{6}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{5}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{4}}+t^{\underline{3}}+t^{\underline{4}}+q^2t^{\underline{2}}+q^4t^{\underline{1}}+q^6)$
10_{132}	$a^2(q^{\underline{2}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^1+q^2t^2+q^2t^3)+a^4(q^{\underline{4}}t^2+t^3+2t^4+q^4t^6)+a^6(q^{\underline{2}}t^5+q^2t^7)$
10_{136}	$a^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{3}}+a^{\underline{2}}(2q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}+t^{\underline{1}}+2q^2)+(q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}$ $+3t^1+1+q^2t^2+q^4t^3)+a^2(q^{\underline{2}}t^2+t^3+q^2t^4)$
10_{139}	$a^{\underline{12}}(q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{10}}+t^{\underline{9}}+2q^2t^{\underline{8}})+a^{\underline{10}}(q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{9}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{9}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{8}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{7}}+2t^{\underline{7}}+q^2t^{\underline{6}}$ $+q^2t^{\underline{5}}+q^4t^{\underline{5}}+q^6t^{\underline{3}})+a^{\underline{8}}(q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{8}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{6}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{6}}+t^{\underline{5}}+t^{\underline{4}}+q^2t^{\underline{4}}+q^4t^{\underline{2}}+q^8)$
10_{145}	$a^4(q^{\underline{4}}+t^2+t^3+q^4t^4)+a^6(q^{\underline{2}}t^3+q^{\underline{2}}t^4+$ $t^5+q^2t^5+q^2t^6)+a^8(q^{\underline{2}}t^6+t^7+q^2t^8)+a^{10}t^9$
10_{152}	$a^8(q^{\underline{8}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^2+2q^{\underline{2}}t^4+t^4+t^5+2q^2t^6+q^4t^6+q^8t^8)+a^{10}(q^{\underline{6}}t^3+2q^{\underline{4}}t^5$ $+q^{\underline{2}}t^5+q^{\underline{2}}t^6+4t^7+q^2t^7+q^2t^8+2q^4t^9+q^6t^9)+a^{12}(2q^{\underline{2}}t^8+t^9+2q^2t^{10})$
10_{153}	$a^{\underline{2}}(q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{5}}+t^{\underline{3}}+q^4t^{\underline{1}})+(q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{4}}+2q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+2+2q^2+q^2t^1+q^6t^2)$ $+a^2(q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^1+t^1+2t^2+q^2t^3+q^4t^3+q^4t^4)+a^4(q^{\underline{2}}t^3+t^4+q^2t^5)$
10_{154}	$a^{\underline{12}}t^{\underline{10}}+a^{\underline{10}}(2q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{9}}+2t^{\underline{8}}+2q^2t^{\underline{7}})+a^{\underline{8}}(q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{7}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{8}}+2q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{7}}+3t^{\underline{6}}+t^{\underline{5}}+2q^2t^{\underline{5}}$ $+q^4t^{\underline{3}}+q^4t^{\underline{4}})+a^{\underline{6}}(q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{6}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{5}}+2t^{\underline{4}}+q^2t^{\underline{2}}+q^2t^{\underline{3}}+q^6)$
10_{161}	$a^6(q^{\underline{6}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^2+q^{\underline{2}}t^3+t^4+q^2t^4+q^2t^5+q^6t^6)+a^8(q^{\underline{4}}t^3+q^{\underline{4}}t^4$ $+q^{\underline{2}}t^5+t^5+2t^6+q^2t^7+q^4t^7+q^4t^8)+a^{10}(q^{\underline{2}}t^7+t^8+q^2t^9)$

We list the following table for the coefficient $H_1(\mathcal{K},a,q,t)$ in the expansion.

$\mathcal{K}$	σ	g_4	s	α	$H_1(\mathcal{K},a,q,t)$
8_{19}	6	3	6	-3	$-a^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{9}}-a^{\underline{6}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{8}}-a^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{6}}+a^{\underline{4}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{5}}-a^{\underline{6}}q^4t^{\underline{4}}+a^{\underline{4}}q^2t^{\underline{3}}-a^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}$
9_{42}	2	1	0	0	$q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}+q^2$
10_{124}	8	4	8	-4	$a^{\underline{10}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{12}}+a^{\underline{10}}q^2t^{\underline{10}}+a^{\underline{8}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{11}}+a^{\underline{8}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{9}}+a^{\underline{8}}q^2t^{\underline{7}}+a^{\underline{8}}q^6t^{\underline{5}}$ $-a^{\underline{6}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{8}}-a^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{6}}-a^{\underline{6}}q^4t^4+a^{\underline{4}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{4}}q^2t^{\underline{3}}-a^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}$
10_{128}	6	3	6	-3	$-a^{\underline{10}}t^{\underline{11}}-a^{\underline{8}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{10}}-a^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{9}}-a^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{8}}-a^{\underline{8}}q^4t^{\underline{6}}$ $-a^{\underline{6}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{8}}-a^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{6}}-a^{\underline{6}}q^4t^4+a^{\underline{4}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{4}}q^2t^{\underline{3}}-a^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}$
10_{132}	0	1	-2	1	$-a^2t^2-a^4q^{\underline{2}}t^4-a^4q^2t^6$
10_{136}	2	1	0	0	$a^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}+t^1+q^2t^2$
10_{139}	6	4	8	-4	$a^{\underline{10}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{12}}+a^{\underline{10}}t^{\underline{11}}+a^{\underline{10}}q^2t^{\underline{10}}+a^{\underline{8}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{11}}+a^{\underline{8}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{9}}+a^{\underline{8}}q^2t^{\underline{7}}$ $+a^{\underline{8}}q^6t^{\underline{5}}-a^{\underline{6}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{8}}-a^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{6}}-a^{\underline{6}}q^4t^{\underline{4}}+a^{\underline{4}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{4}}q^2t^{\underline{3}}-a^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}$
10_{145}	-2	2	-4	2	$-a^2t^2+a^4q^{\underline{2}}t^3+a^4q^2t^5+a^6t^7+a^8t^9$
10_{152}	-6	4	-8	4	$-a^2t^2+a^4q^{\underline{2}}t^3+a^4q^2t^5-a^6q^{\underline{4}}t^4-a^6t^6-a^6q^4t^8+a^8q^{\underline{6}}t^5$ $+a^8q^{\underline{2}}t^7+a^8q^2t^9+a^8q^6t^{11}+2a^{10}q^{\underline{2}}t^{10}+a^{10}t^{11}+2a^{10}q^2t^{12}$
10_{153}	0	0	0	0	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{3}}+t^{\underline{1}}+q^4t^1+a^2q^{\underline{2}}t^1+a^2t^2+a^2q^2t^3$
10_{154}	4	3	6	-3	$-a^{\underline{10}}t^{\underline{11}}-a^{\underline{8}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{10}}-2a^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{9}}-a^{\underline{8}}q^2t^{\underline{8}}-a^{\underline{6}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{8}}$ $-a^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{6}}-a^{\underline{6}}q^4t^{\underline{4}}+a^{\underline{4}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{4}}q^2t^{\underline{3}}-a^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}$
10_{161}	-4	3	-6	3	$-a^2t^2+a^4q^{\underline{2}}t^3+a^4q^2t^5-a^6q^{\underline{4}}t^4$ $-a^6t^6-a^6q^4t^8-a^8q^{\underline{2}}t^8-a^8t^9-a^8q^2t^{10}$

Remark 6.2. For these values, $\alpha(\mathcal{K})$ is coincide with the Ozsváth-Szabó's τ invariant and Rasmussen's s invariant up to a factor of 2.

We also tested higher representation for knots 3_1, 5_1 and 7_1 obtained in (3.61) of [8](We make a variable change $q\to q^2$, and $t\to t^2$), knots 4_1 obtained in (2.12) of [9](original in [15]), 5_2 and 6_1 in [10] and knots 8_{19} and 9_{42} obtained in Appendix B of [10] (We make a variable change $q\to q^{-2}$, and $t\to t^{-2}$, because they use mirror knot)

$\mathcal{K}$	$\sigma(\mathcal{K})$	$\alpha(\mathcal{K})$	$H_2(\mathcal{K},a,q,t)$
3_1	-2	1	$(a+t^{-1}a^{-1})a^4q^2t^4$
4_1	0	0	$(a+t^{-1}a^{-1})$
5_1	-4	2	$a^3q^2t^3-a^5t^4-a^5q^4t^6-a^5q^6t^6-a^7t^5+a^7q^{10}t^9$ $+a^9q^{\underline{2}}t^6+a^9q^4t^8+a^9q^6t^8+a^9q^{10}t^{10}$
5_2	-2	1	$(a+t^{-1}a^{-1})(a^4q^2t^4+a^6q^2t^6+a^6q^4t^6+a^8q^6t^8)$
6_1	0	0	$(a+t^{-1}a^{-1})(1+a^2t^2+a^2q^2t^2+a^4q^4t^4)$
6_2	-2	1	$a^3q^{\underline{4}}t^1+a^3t^2+a^3q^2t^2+2a^3q^2t^3+a^3q^4t^3+a^3q^4t^4+a^3q^6t^4+a^3q^8t^5$ $+a^5q^{\underline{4}}t^2+a^5t^3+a^5q^{\underline{2}}t^3+2a^5q^2t^4+a^5q^4t^4+a^5q^4t^5+a^5q^6t^5+a^5q^8t^6$
6_3	0	0	$a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{3}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{2}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}+2a^{\underline{1}}t^{\underline{1}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^2t^{\underline{1}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^2+a^{\underline{1}}q^4+a^{\underline{1}}q^6t^1$ $+a^1q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{2}}+a^1q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+a^1q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+2a^1+a^1q^{\underline{2}}+a^1q^2t^1+a^1q^4t^1+a^1q^6t^2$
7_1	-6	3	$a^3q^2t^3-a^5t^4-a^5q^4t^6-a^5q^6t^6+a^7q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^7q^2t^7+a^7q^4t^7+a^7q^6t^9$ $+a^7q^8t^9+a^7q^{10}t^9+a^9q^{\underline{2}}t^6-a^9q^8t^{10}-a^9q^{12}t^{12}-a^9q^{14}t^{12}-a^{11}q^{\underline{4}}t^7$ $-a^{11}q^2t^9-a^{11}q^4t^9-a^{11}q^8t^{11}+a^{11}q^{18}t^{15}+a^{13}q^{\underline{6}}t^8+a^{13}t^{10}+a^{13}q^2t^{10}$ $+a^{13}q^6t^{12}+a^{13}q^8t^{12}+a^{13}q^{10}t^{12}+a^{13}q^{12}t^{14}+a^{13}q^{14}t^{14}+a^{13}q^{18}t^{16}$
8_{19}	6	-3	$a^{\underline{17}}q^{\underline{12}}t^{\underline{19}}+a^{\underline{15}}q^{\underline{16}}t^{\underline{18}}+a^{\underline{15}}q^{\underline{14}}t^{\underline{18}}+a^{\underline{15}}q^{\underline{12}}t^{\underline{18}}+a^{\underline{15}}q^{\underline{10}}t^{\underline{16}}+a^{\underline{15}}q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{16}}+a^{\underline{15}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{14}}$ $+a^{\underline{15}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{14}}+a^{\underline{13}}q^{\underline{18}}t^{\underline{17}}+a^{\underline{13}}q^{\underline{16}}t^{\underline{17}}+a^{\underline{13}}q^{14}t^{\underline{17}}+a^{\underline{13}}q^{\underline{14}}t^{\underline{15}}+a^{\underline{13}}q^{\underline{12}}t^{\underline{15}}$ $+a^{\underline{13}}q^{\underline{10}}t^{\underline{13}}+a^{\underline{13}}q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{13}}+a^{\underline{13}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{13}}+a^{\underline{13}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{11}}+a^{\underline{13}}t^{\underline{11}}+a^{\underline{13}}q^6t^{\underline{9}}+a^{\underline{11}}q^{\underline{18}}t^{\underline{16}}$ $-a^{\underline{11}}q^{\underline{10}}t^{\underline{14}}-a^{\underline{11}}q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{14}}-a^{\underline{11}}q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{12}}-a^{\underline{11}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{10}}-a^{\underline{11}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{10}}-a^{\underline{11}}q^4t^{\underline{8}}-a^{\underline{9}}q^{\underline{14}}t^{\underline{13}}$ $-a^{\underline{9}}q^{\underline{12}}t^{\underline{13}}-a^{\underline{9}}q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{11}}+a^{\underline{9}}q^4t^{\underline{11}}+a^{\underline{9}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{11}}+a^{\underline{9}}q^2t^{\underline{7}}+a^{\underline{7}}q^{\underline{10}}t^{\underline{10}}+a^{\underline{7}}q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{10}}$ $+a^{\underline{7}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{10}}+a^{\underline{7}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{8}}+a^{\underline{7}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{8}}+a^{\underline{7}}q^2t^{\underline{6}}-a^{\underline{5}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{7}}-a^{\underline{5}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{7}}-a^{\underline{5}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{3}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{4}}$
9_{42}	2	0	$a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{4}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{5}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{4}}+2a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{3}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{3}}$ $+2a^{\underline{1}}t^{\underline{3}}+a^{\underline{1}}t^{\underline{2}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^2t^{\underline{3}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^2t^{\underline{2}}+a^{\underline{1}}q^4t^{\underline{1}}+a^1q^{\underline{8}}t^{\underline{4}}+a^1q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{4}}+a^1q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{3}}$ $+a^1q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{4}}+a^1q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{3}}+a^1q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{2}}+2a^1q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}+a^1q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+3a^1t^{\underline{2}}+a^1t^{\underline{1}}$ $+2a^1q^2t^{\underline{2}}+2a^1q^2t^{\underline{1}}+a^1q^2+2a^1q^4t^{\underline{1}}+2a^1q^4+a^3q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{2}}+a^3q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}$ $+a^3q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{2}}+a^3q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+a^3t^{\underline{1}}+a^3q^2t^{\underline{1}}+2a^3q^2+a^3q^2t^1+2a^3q^4+a^3q^4t^1$

Remark 6.3. Careful reader may find only $H_2(\mathcal{K},a,q,t)$ of knot 3_1, 4_1, 5_2, 6_1 has an additional factor $(a+t^{-1}a^{-1})$, while other don't have. That's the reason why we can not make the conjucture one step further.

6.2. **Volume Conjecture for SU(n) specialized superpolynomial of colored HOMFLY-PT homology.** Here we provide several tables of $2\pi\log\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K};q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-1)})|_{q=e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N+b}}}}{\mathcal{P}_{N-1}(\mathcal{K};q^n,q,q^{-(N+n-2)})|_{q=e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N-1+b}}}}\right)$ for knot $\mathcal{K}=5_2$.

$N\backslash(n,b)$	$(2,1)$	$(2,2)$	$(2,3)$
10	$3.73795+2.62595\sqrt{-1}$	$4.72339+2.30778\sqrt{-1}$	$5.57612+2.02747\sqrt{-1}$
20	$3.27786+2.92530\sqrt{-1}$	$3.84449+2.81820\sqrt{-1}$	$4.36265+2.71525\sqrt{-1}$
30	$3.13249+2.97960\sqrt{-1}$	$3.52355+2.92820\sqrt{-1}$	$3.89157+2.87605\sqrt{-1}$
40	$3.05822+2.99885\sqrt{-1}$	$3.35658+2.96886\sqrt{-1}$	$3.64157+2.93753\sqrt{-1}$
50	$3.01308+3.00786\sqrt{-1}$	$3.25424+2.98824\sqrt{-1}$	$3.48668+2.96737\sqrt{-1}$
70	$2.96096+3.01577\sqrt{-1}$	$3.13525+3.00551\sqrt{-1}$	$3.30500+2.99436\sqrt{-1}$
100	$2.92148+3.02001\sqrt{-1}$	$3.04458+3.01489\sqrt{-1}$	$3.16541+3.00924\sqrt{-1}$
150	$2.89056+3.02229\sqrt{-1}$	$2.97319+3.01998\sqrt{-1}$	$3.05480+3.01740\sqrt{-1}$

$N\backslash(n,b)$	$(3,1)$	$(3,2)$	$(3,3)$
10	$3.78463+2.47268\sqrt{-1}$	$4.77077+2.02852\sqrt{-1}$	$5.60791+1.65764\sqrt{-1}$
20	$3.29553+2.89137\sqrt{-1}$	$3.85936+2.74299\sqrt{-1}$	$4.37499+2.60602\sqrt{-1}$
30	$3.14046+2.96457\sqrt{-1}$	$3.53064+2.89368\sqrt{-1}$	$3.89784+2.82443\sqrt{-1}$
40	$3.06273+2.99039\sqrt{-1}$	$3.36071+2.94911\sqrt{-1}$	$3.64534+2.90755\sqrt{-1}$
50	$3.01598+3.00244\sqrt{-1}$	$3.25694+2.97547\sqrt{-1}$	$3.48919+2.94781\sqrt{-1}$
70	$2.96244+3.01301\sqrt{-1}$	$3.13666+2.99891\sqrt{-1}$	$3.30634+2.98415\sqrt{-1}$
100	$2.92221+3.01866\sqrt{-1}$	$3.04528+3.01163\sqrt{-1}$	$3.16609+3.00414\sqrt{-1}$
150	$2.89089+3.02169\sqrt{-1}$	$2.97351+3.01852\sqrt{-1}$	$3.05511+3.01511\sqrt{-1}$

$N\backslash(n,b)$	$(4,1)$	$(4,2)$	$(4,3)$	$(4,4)$
10	#	$4.90771+1.80487\sqrt{-1}$	$5.70074+1.31854\sqrt{-1}$	$6.38359+0.946278\sqrt{-1}$
20	#	$3.90345+2.68899\sqrt{-1}$	$4.41159+2.51307\sqrt{-1}$	$4.87781+2.35457\sqrt{-1}$
30	#	$3.55180+2.86986\sqrt{-1}$	$3.91657+2.78186\sqrt{-1}$	$4.26043+2.69779\sqrt{-1}$
40	#	$3.37306+2.93576\sqrt{-1}$	$3.65662+2.88323\sqrt{-1}$	$3.92773+2.83155\sqrt{-1}$
50	#	$3.26502+2.96695\sqrt{-1}$	$3.49671+2.93210\sqrt{-1}$	$3.72016+2.89720\sqrt{-1}$
70	#	$3.14089+2.99458\sqrt{-1}$	$3.31036+2.97605\sqrt{-1}$	$3.47547+2.95712\sqrt{-1}$
100	#	$3.04739+3.00951\sqrt{-1}$	$3.16812+3.00014\sqrt{-1}$	$3.28665+2.99042\sqrt{-1}$
150	#	$2.97446+3.01758\sqrt{-1}$	$3.05604+3.01332\sqrt{-1}$	$3.13662+3.00884\sqrt{-1}$

6.3. **Expansion formula for Poincare polynomial of Heegaard-Floer homology.** For a knot $\mathcal{K}$, there exists an integer valued invariant $\gamma(\mathcal{K})\in\mathbb{Z}$ of a knot $\mathcal{K}$, s.t. Poincare polynomial $HFK(\mathcal{K};q^2,t)$ of Heegaard-Floer knot homology of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ has the following expansion formula

$$(-t)^{\gamma(\mathcal{K})}HFK(\mathcal{K};q^2,t)=1+KF(\mathcal{K};q,t)(q+t^{-1}q^{-1})^2 \tag{6.3}$$

with coefficient functions $KF(\mathcal{K};q,t)\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1},t^{\pm1}]$.

We test the expression of homologically thick knots 8_{19}, 9_{42}, 10_{124}, 10_{128}, 10_{132}, 10_{136}, 10_{139}, 10_{145}, 10_{152}, 10_{153}, 10_{154}, 10_{161} obtained in [1].

(From knot 10_{124}, we make a variable change $t\to q^{-2}$, and $q\to t^{-1}$. For knot 10_{124}, we use $q^8t^8+q^6t^7+q^2t^4+t^3+q^{-2}t^2+q^{-6}t+q^{-8}$ instead of $q^{-8}t^{-4}+q^{-7}t^{-3}+q^{-4}t^{-1}+q^{-3}+q^{-2}t+q^{-1}t^3+t^4$.

$\mathcal{K}$	σ	γ	$KF(\mathcal{K};q,t)$
8_{19}	6	-2	$q^{\underline{4}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1+t^2-q^2t^3+q^4t^4$
9_{42}	2	0	$q^{\underline{2}}t^1+q^2t^3$
10_{124}	8	-3	$-q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1-t^1+t^2-q^2t^3+q^4t^4-q^6t^5$
10_{128}	6	-2	$2q^{\underline{4}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1+t^2-q^2t^3+2q^4t^4$
10_{132}	0	1	$-q^{\underline{2}}t^1-t^1-q^2t^3$
10_{136}	2	0	$q^{\underline{2}}t^1+2t^2+q^2t^3$
10_{139}	6	-3	$-q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1-2t^1+t^2-q^2t^3+q^4t^4-q^6t^5$
10_{145}	-2	2	$q^{\underline{2}}-t^1+2t^2+q^2t^2$
10_{152}	-6	3	$-q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{3}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{2}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}-q^{\underline{2}}+1-2t^1-q^2t-q^2t^2+q^4t^2-q^6t^3$
10_{153}	0	0	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{2}}+q^{\underline{2}}+q^2t^2+q^4t^2$
10_{154}	4	-2	$q^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{2}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1+2t^1+t^2+q^2t^2-q^2t^3+q^4t^4$
10_{161}	-4	2	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{2}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}+1-q^2t^1+q^2t^2+q^4t^2$

We also test the expression of 41 homologically thick knots with 11 crossings obtained in [1].

$\mathcal{K}$	σ	γ	$KF(\mathcal{K};q,t)$
$11n_6$	0	0	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+2q^{\underline{2}}+q^2t^1+2q^2t^2+q^4t^3$
$11n_9$	4	-2	$q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{2}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1+2t^1+t^2+q^2t^2-q^2t^3+q^4t^4+q^6t^5$
$11n_{12}$	0	-1	$-q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}-2-t^1-q^2t^1$
$11n_{19}$	-4	1	$-q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{2}}-t^1-q^4t^2$
$11n_{20}$	-2	0	$2q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+2+2q^2t^1$
$11n_{24}$	2	0	$q^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^1+2t^2+q^2t^3+q^4t^4$
$11n_{27}$	6	-2	$q^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}+t^2+q^4t^4+q^6t^5$
$11n_{31}$	2	-2	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}+2-t^1+q^2t^1+q^2t^2+q^4t^3$
$11n_{34}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{2}}+q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}+q^2t^1+q^2t^2+q^4t^2+q^4t^3$
$11n_{38}$	2	0	$q^{\underline{2}}t^1+t^1+q^2t^3$
$11n_{39}$	0	0	$2q^{\underline{2}}+4t^1+2t^2+2q^2t^2$
$11n_{42}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}+2+2t^1+q^2t^1+q^2t^2$
$11n_{45}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}+2q^{\underline{2}}+2t^1+2q^2t^2+q^4t^3+q^4t^4$
$11n_{49}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{2}}t^1+2t^1+q^2t^3$

$\mathcal{K}$	σ	γ	$KF(\mathcal{K};q,t)$
$11n_{57}$	6	-2	$q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1+t^1+t^2-q^2t^3+q^4t^4+q^6t^5$
$11n_{61}$	4	-1	$-q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}-q^{\underline{4}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1-t^1+t^2-q^2t^3-q^4t^4-q^6t^5$
$11n_{67}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{2}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^1+2t^1+q^2t^2+q^2t^3$
$11n_{70}$	4	-1	$-q^{\underline{4}}-t^1-2t^2-q^4t^4$
$11n_{73}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{2}}+q^2t^2+q^4t^3+q^4t^4$
$11n_{74}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{2}}+2t^1+2t^2+q^2t^2$
$11n_{77}$	6	-3	$-q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}-2q^{\underline{2}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1-4t^1+t^2-2q^2t^2-q^2t^3+q^4t^4-q^6t^5$
$11n_{79}$	2	0	$2q^{\underline{2}}t^1+2q^2t^3$
$11n_{80}$	-2	1	$-q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{2}}-q^{\underline{2}}-4t^1-q^2t^2-q^4t^2$
$11n_{81}$	6	-2	$q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^1+t^2+q^2t^3+q^4t^4+q^6t^5$
$11n_{88}$	6	-2	$q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1+t^2-q^2t^3+q^4t^4+q^6t^5$
$11n_{92}$	-2	0	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{2}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^2t^1+q^4t^2$
$11n_{96}$	2	0	$q^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{2}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^1+q^2t^2+q^2t^3+q^4t^4$
$11n_{97}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}+2t^1+q^2t^1+q^2t^2$

$\mathcal{K}$	σ	γ	$KF(\mathcal{K};q,t)$
$11n_{102}$	-2	1	$-q^{\underline{2}}-t^1-2t^2-q^2t^2$
$11n_{104}$	6	-2	$q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{4}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1+2t^1+t^2-q^2t^3+q^4t^4+q^6t^5$
$11n_{111}$	2	-1	$-q^{\underline{4}}-q^{\underline{2}}-2t^1-q^2t^2-q^4t^4$
$11n_{116}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+2t^1+q^2t^1$
$11n_{126}$	6	-2	$3q^{\underline{4}}+t^2+3q^4t^4$
$11n_{133}$	4	-1	$-q^{\underline{6}}t^{\underline{1}}-2q^{\underline{4}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1-t^1+t^2-q^2t^3-2q^4t^4-q^6t^5$
$11n_{135}$	4	-2	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}-t^1+q^2t^1+q^2t^2+q^4t^3$
$11n_{138}$	2	0	$2q^{\underline{2}}t^1+2q^2t^3$
$11n_{143}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}+q^{\underline{2}}+q^{\underline{2}}t^1+q^2t^2+q^2t^3+q^4t^3$
$11n_{145}$	0	0	$q^{\underline{4}}+q^{\underline{2}}+2t^1+q^2t^2+q^4t^4$
$11n_{151}$	2	-1	$-2q^{\underline{2}}-4t^1-2t^2-2q^2t^2$
$11n_{152}$	2	-1	$-q^{\underline{4}}t^{\underline{1}}-q^{\underline{4}}-2q^{\underline{2}}-2t^1-2q^2t^2-q^4t^3-q^4t^4$
$11n_{183}$	4	-2	$q^{\underline{4}}+2q^{\underline{2}}-q^{\underline{2}}t^1+2t^1+t^2+2q^2t^2-q^2t^3+q^4t^4$

References

[1] J. A. Baldwin and W. D. Gillam, *Computations of Heegaard-Floer Knot Homology*, arXiv:math/0610167, J. Knot Theo. Ramif 21 (2012).

[2] D. Bar-Natan, *Khovanov's homology for tangles and cobordisms*, arXiv:math/0410495v2, Geom. Topol. 9 (2005) 1443-1499.

[3] D. Bar-Natan, *Khovanov homology for knots and links with up to 11 crossings*, available at http://www.math.toronto.edu/∼drorbn/papers/KHTables/KHTables.pdf (2003).

[4] Q. Chen, K. Liu, P. Peng and S. Zhu, *Congruent skein relations for colored HOMFLY-PT invariants and colored Jones polynomials*, arXiv:1402.3571v3.

[5] Q. Chen, K. Liu and S. Zhu, *Volume conjecture of $SU(n)$-invariants*, arXiv:1511.00658v1.

[6] N.M. Dunfield, S. Gukov and J. Rasmussen, *The Superpolynomial for knot homology*, arXiv:math/0505662v2, Experiment. Math. 15 (2006) 129-160.

[7] P. Dunin-Barkowski, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Sleptsov and A. Smirnov, *The Superpolynomial for torus knots from evolution induced by cut-and-join operators*, arXiv: 1106.4305v3.

[8] H. Fuji, S. Gukov and P. Sulkowski, *Volume Conjecture: Refined and Categorified*, arXiv:1203.2182v1, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 16 (2012) 6, 1669-1777.

[9] H. Fuji, S. Gukov and P. Sulkowski, *Super-A-polynomial for knots and BPS states*, arXiv:1205.1515v2, Nuclear. Phys. B. 867 (2013) 506-546.

[10] S. Gukov and M. Stosic, *Homological Algebra of knots and BPS states*, arXiv:1112.0030v2, Geometry & Topology Monographs 18 (2012) 309-367.

[11] S. Gukov, S. Nawata, I. Saberi, M Stosic and P. Sulkowski, *Sequencing BPS Spectra*, arXiv: 1512.07883v1.

[12] S. Gukov and J. Walcher, *Matrix Factorizations and Kauffman Homology*, arXiv:hep-th/0512298.

[13] K. Habiro, *A unified Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant for integral homology spheres*, arXiv:math/0605314, Invent. Math. 171 (2008), no. 1, 1-81.

[14] M. Hedden, *Knot Floer homology of Whitehead doubles*, arXiv:math/0606094v2, Geom. Topol. 11 (2007), 101-163

[15] H. Itoyama, A. Mironov, A. Morozov and An. Morozov, *HOMFLY and superpolynomials for figure eight knot in all symmetric and antisymmetric representations*, arXiv:1203.5978, JHEP 07 (2012) 131.

[16] V.F.R. Jones, *A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebra*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1985) 103–111.

[17] V.F.R. Jones, *Hecke Algebra Representation of Braid Groups and Link Polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2), 126 no.2 (1987) 335-388.

[18] M. Khovanov, *A categorification of the Jones polynomial*, arXiv:math/9908171, Duke Math. J. 101 (2000) 359-426.

[19] M. Khovanov, *Patterns in Knot Cohomology I*, arXiv: math/0201306, Experiment. Math. 12 No 3. (2003) 365-374.

[20] M. Khovanov and L. Rozansky, *Matrix factorization and link homology*, Fund. Math. 199 (2008) 1-91.

[21] M. Khovanov and L. Rozansky, *Matrix factorization and link homology II*, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008) 1387-1425.

[22] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka, *Gauge theory for embedded surfaces. I.* Topology, 32:773–826, 1993.

[23] X.-S. Lin and H. Zheng, *On the Hecke algebra and the colored HOMFLY polynomial*, arXiv:math/0601267, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010) 1-18.

[24] C. Manolescu, *An introduction to knot Floer homology*, arXiv:1401.7107, www.math.ucla.edu/˜cm/hfk.pdf.

[25] C. Manolescu and P.S. Ozsváth, *On the Khovanov and knot Floer homologies of quasi-alternating links*, Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2007, GökovaGeometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2008, 60–81.

[26] S. Nawata and A. Oblomkov, *Lectures on knot homology*, arXiv:1510.01795.

[27] S. Nawata and P. Ramadevi and Zodinmawia, *Super-A-polynomials for Twist knots*, arXiv:1209.1409, JHEP 1211 (2012) 157.
[28] S. Nawata and P. Ramadevi and Zodinmawia, *Colored Kauffman Homology and Super-A-polynomials*, arXiv:1310.2240v4, JHEP 1401 (2014) 126.
[29] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Heegaard Floer homology and alternating knots*, arXiv:math/0209149, Geom. Topol. 7 (2003), 225–254.
[30] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Holomorphic disks and knot invariants*, arXiv:math/0209056, Adv. Math. 186 (2004), no.1, 58-116.
[31] K. Park, *On independence of iterated Whitehead doubles in the knot concordance group*, arXiv: 1311.2050v2.
[32] J. A. Rasmussen, *Floer homology and knot complements*, arXiv:math/0306378, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, 2003.
[33] J. A. Rasmussen, *Khovanov homology and the slice genus*, arXiv:math/0402131, Invent. Math. (2010) 419-447.
[34] N. Reshetikhin and V. Turaev, *Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. 103 (1991) 547-597.
[35] B. Webster, *Knot invariants and higher representation theory II: the categorification of quantum knot invariants*, arXiv: 1005.4559v3.
[36] E. Witten, *Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*, Comm. Math. Phys 121 (1989) 351-399.
[37] H. Wu, *A colored $sl(N)$-homology for links in S^3*, arXiv: 0907.0695.

Department of Mathematics, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland
E-mail address: qingtao.chen@math.ethz.ch